## United States District Court

for the Western District of North Carolina United States of America v. Case No: 0419 3:21CR00277-001 John Paul Durant USM No: 33925-058 Date of Original Judgment: 09/28/2022 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Pro Se (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of  $\boxtimes$  the defendant  $\square$  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  $\square$  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  $\boxtimes$  DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment months is reduced to (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 09/30/2022 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: February 8, 2024 Effective Date: (if different from order date) Frank D. Whitney

United States District Judge

## This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: John Paul Dur	ant		_			
CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:210	CR00277					
DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina			-			
I. COURT DETERMINATI Previous Total Offense Level:	19	DELINE RANG	Amended Total Offense Level:	-		
Criminal History Category:	V	=	Criminal History Category:	V		
Previous Guideline Range:	57 to	71 months	Amended Guideline Range:	57	to <u>71</u>	months
	within the ame risonment imp sult of a subst ne amended gu	ended guideline i posed was less tl tantial assistance uideline range.	range. nan the guideline range applicabl departure or Rule 35 reduction,			

## III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Under United States Sentencing Guideline Amendment 821, Defendant now earns one status point towards his criminal history score instead of two status points, resulting in a total of 11 criminal history points instead of 12. With that one-point reduction, Defendant's criminal history category remains a category V. Because the application of Amendment 821 does not change the criminal history category or total offense level the Court used to determine Defendant's sentence, his guideline range remains 57 to 71 months and he is not eligible for a reduced sentence under the Amendment.